UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,148	07/24/2003	David Ciancio	058420-005	9317
27805 THOMPSON H	7590 04/08/200 IINE L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop	perty Group	HOEL, MATTHEW D		
P.O. BOX 8801 DAYTON, OH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/626,148	CIANCIO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew D. Hoel	3714		

M	atthew D. Hoel	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of lies: (1) an amendment, affidav (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advis no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensional and the state of the short set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount tened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliar filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensic Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	deration and/or search (see NO form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corn NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow 	and 41.33(a)). See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (F	,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24 and 26-55. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b) w		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary ar	come <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but do 		•	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PT 13. ☑ Other:	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Peter D. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714	/M. D. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714	ļ	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: nine new claims (56-64); four claims presently cancelled (43.46.48.49).

Dependent claims 43, 46, 48, & 49 are cancelled and new claims 56 to 64 are presented, so a corresponding number of finally rejected claims have not been cancelled as indicated above. Claims 56 to 64 will require a new search and consideration of novelty and nonobviousness. The Bilski amendments to ind. Claims 1, 24, and 45 are acceptable, but the new non-Bilski limitations into these claims and claims 26 and 33 will require new search and consideration of novelty and non-obviousness. The examiner had provided Bilski suggestions in the last action. The independent claims, while better than previously amended for 101 purposes, are still not specific enough to show how the specific structure of an apparatus carries out the specific steps of accepting selection of categories, accepting purchases from customers, or remitting awards to customers. The claims have been merely written to include a computer. The selection of categories could be done by keyboard, mouse, touchscreen, etc. The accepting of purchases could be done by keyboard, mouse, touchscreen, credit/debit card reader/writer, POS terminal, bill/coin slot/hopper, etc. The remitting of an award to the customer could be done by credit/debit card reader/writer, POS terminal, bill/coin slot/hopper, etc. The specific steps have not been sufficiently tied to specific apparatus structure. The new undetermined reward of Claims 1 and 45 is a negative limitation which appears to be indefinite and does not appear to be enabled or described by the specification, for example, these claims do not cite how the reward is determined. While the independent claims do not pertain to a subscription system, the examiner believes that the claim language does not preclude the subscription systems of '262 and '573 from being used as the examiner did not rely on a citation of a subscription against the claims. An undetermined award appears to be anticipated by '262, Fig. 11, 9:51-10:15, in which internal conditions such as existing frequency and duration of purchases and subscriptions are randomly selected and also external conditions are randomly used. Regarding the '262 18:60-64 and 19:28-46 passages cited by the applicants, the subscription renewal offer is made after the plurality of discrete purchases as presently claimed. The reward may be a single i) coupon for the monetary amount, usable for purchases at the business; ii) a cash payment; iii) a credit to a financial account associated with the customer, iv) an increase of the deposit previously paid for by the customer; or v) any combination thereof. '262, 19:5-20 describes a subscription price being set at the time of a renewal offer by looking up in a database, after the plurality of discrete purchases. Regarding the comments on page 15, each category of '262 Fig. 6 (7:20-38) can include a plurality of goods. Category C5 includes both diapers and a jar of baby food, both of which are items used in caring for infants.